

The Transverse Instability of Periodic Traveling Waves in the Generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) Equation

Mathew A. Johnson
Indiana University
(NSF/Zorn Postdoctoral Fellow)

August 18, 2010

Joint work with Kevin Zumbrun (IU)

- The gKP equations are given by

$$(u_t - u_{xxx} - f(u)_x)_x + \sigma u_{yy} = 0, \quad \sigma = \pm 1.$$

Weakly two-dimensional version of the gKdV equation

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Special Case: $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ (KdV-nonlinearity)

- KP-I if $\sigma = +1$: model for thin films with high surface tension.
- KP-II if $\sigma = -1$: model for water waves with small surface tension.
- Other multi-d generalizations exist: gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x$$

but KP has extra *degeneracy* which presents interesting mathematical difficulty.

- **Take Away:** Solutions of gKdV = unidirectional (y -independent) solution of gKP.

- The gKP equations are given by

$$(u_t - u_{xxx} - f(u)_x)_x + \sigma u_{yy} = 0, \quad \sigma = \pm 1.$$

Weakly two-dimensional version of the gKdV equation

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Special Case: $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ (KdV-nonlinearity)

- KP-I if $\sigma = +1$: model for thin films with high surface tension.
- KP-II if $\sigma = -1$: model for water waves with small surface tension.
- Other multi-d generalizations exist: gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x$$

but KP has extra *degeneracy* which presents interesting mathematical difficulty.

- **Take Away:** Solutions of gKdV = unidirectional (y -independent) solution of gKP.

- The gKP equations are given by

$$(u_t - u_{xxx} - f(u)_x)_x + \sigma u_{yy} = 0, \quad \sigma = \pm 1.$$

Weakly two-dimensional version of the gKdV equation

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Special Case: $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ (KdV-nonlinearity)

- KP-I if $\sigma = +1$: model for thin films with high surface tension.
- KP-II if $\sigma = -1$: model for water waves with small surface tension.
- Other multi-d generalizations exist: gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x$$

but KP has extra *degeneracy* which presents interesting mathematical difficulty.

- **Take Away:** Solutions of gKdV = unidirectional (y -independent) solution of gKP.

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

- **Main Problem:** When are stable solutions of gKdV stable in the gKP equation?
- Have answers for solitary waves, i.e. when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x) = 0$:
 - $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow$ solitary waves stable in gKdV, but unstable in gKP. Instability is to low-frequency perturbations.
 - $\sigma < 0 \Rightarrow$ depends on nonlinearity. KdV: transversely stable, but \exists nonlinearities with unstable solitary waves to low-frequency perturbations.
 - Results based on multi-scale analysis...
- Few answers for spatially periodic waves:
 - (Haragus-2010) Small amplitude limit in KdV: $\sigma < 0$ stable, $\sigma > 0$ unstable. (Finished after our work?)
 - Others????

Periodic Traveling Waves

Seek traveling wave solutions of gKdV

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Stationary solution in moving coordinate frame $x - ct$:

$$u_{xxx} + f(u)_x - cu_x = 0$$

Integrable: \exists constants $a, E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{u_x^2}{2} = E - \underbrace{\left(\int^x f(u(z)) dz - \frac{c}{2} u^2 - au \right)}_{V(u;a,c)}$$

- Solitary waves: bdry conditions $\Rightarrow a = E = 0$.
- \exists (mod translations) three parameter family of periodic traveling wave solutions of gKdV, parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Periodic Traveling Waves

Seek traveling wave solutions of gKdV

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Stationary solution in moving coordinate frame $x - ct$:

$$u_{xxx} + f(u)_x - cu_x = 0$$

Integrable: \exists constants $a, E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{u_x^2}{2} = E - \underbrace{\left(\int^x f(u(z)) dz - \frac{c}{2} u^2 - au \right)}_{V(u;a,c)}$$

- Solitary waves: bdry conditions $\Rightarrow a = E = 0$.
- \exists (mod translations) three parameter family of periodic traveling wave solutions of gKdV, parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Periodic Traveling Waves

Seek traveling wave solutions of gKdV

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Stationary solution in moving coordinate frame $x - ct$:

$$u_{xxx} + f(u)_x - cu_x = 0$$

Integrable: \exists constants $a, E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{u_x^2}{2} = E - \underbrace{\left(\int^x f(u(z)) dz - \frac{c}{2} u^2 - au \right)}_{V(u; a, c)}$$

- Solitary waves: bdry conditions $\Rightarrow a = E = 0$.
- \exists (mod translations) three parameter family of periodic traveling wave solutions of gKdV, parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Periodic Traveling Waves

Seek traveling wave solutions of gKdV

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Stationary solution in moving coordinate frame $x - ct$:

$$u_{xxx} + f(u)_x - cu_x = 0$$

Integrable: \exists constants $a, E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{u_x^2}{2} = E - \underbrace{\left(\int^x f(u(z)) dz - \frac{c}{2} u^2 - au \right)}_{V(u; a, c)}$$

- Solitary waves: bdry conditions $\Rightarrow a = E = 0$.
- \exists (mod translations) three parameter family of periodic traveling wave solutions of gKdV, parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Periodic Traveling Waves

Seek traveling wave solutions of gKdV

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u)_x.$$

Stationary solution in moving coordinate frame $x - ct$:

$$u_{xxx} + f(u)_x - cu_x = 0$$

Integrable: \exists constants $a, E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{u_x^2}{2} = E - \underbrace{\left(\int^x f(u(z)) dz - \frac{c}{2} u^2 - au \right)}_{V(u; a, c)}$$

- Solitary waves: bdy conditions $\Rightarrow a = E = 0$.
- \exists (mod translations) three parameter family of periodic traveling wave solutions of gKdV, parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Transverse Stability

- Given a $T = T(a, E, c)$ periodic solution $u(x; a, E, c)$ of gKdV, want to determine stability in gKP.
- Strategy: linearize gKP about wave $u(x, y) := u(x; a, E, c)$

$$\partial_x \left(\underbrace{\partial_x \mathcal{L}[u]}_{\text{lin. gKdV}} \right) v + \sigma v_{yy} = v_{xt}, \quad v(\cdot, y, t) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, T]),$$

and take transforms (Fourier in y , Laplace in t):

$$\partial_x (\partial_x \mathcal{L}[u]) v - \sigma k^2 v = \lambda v_x.$$

Corresponding to transverse perturbations of form

$$v(x, y, t) = e^{\lambda t + iky} v(x), \quad v(\cdot) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, T]).$$

- Spectral instability if $\exists T$ -periodic *eigenvalue* λ with $\Re(\lambda) > 0$.
How do we locate these eigenvalues?

Transverse Stability

- Given a $T = T(a, E, c)$ periodic solution $u(x; a, E, c)$ of gKdV, want to determine stability in gKP.
- Strategy: linearize gKP about wave $u(x, y) := u(x; a, E, c)$

$$\partial_x \left(\underbrace{\partial_x \mathcal{L}[u]}_{\text{lin. gKdV}} \right) v + \sigma v_{yy} = v_{xt}, \quad v(\cdot, y, t) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, T]),$$

and take transforms (Fourier in y , Laplace in t):

$$\partial_x (\partial_x \mathcal{L}[u]) v - \sigma k^2 v = \lambda v_x.$$

Corresponding to transverse perturbations of form

$$v(x, y, t) = e^{\lambda t + iky} v(x), \quad v(\cdot) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, T]).$$

- Spectral instability if $\exists T$ -periodic *eigenvalue* λ with $\Re(\lambda) > 0$.
How do we locate these eigenvalues?

Transverse Stability

- Given a $T = T(a, E, c)$ periodic solution $u(x; a, E, c)$ of gKdV, want to determine stability in gKP.
- Strategy: linearize gKP about wave $u(x, y) := u(x; a, E, c)$

$$\partial_x \left(\underbrace{\partial_x \mathcal{L}[u]}_{\text{lin. gKdV}} \right) v + \sigma v_{yy} = v_{xt}, \quad v(\cdot, y, t) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, T]),$$

and take transforms (Fourier in y , Laplace in t):

$$\partial_x (\partial_x \mathcal{L}[u]) v - \sigma k^2 v = \lambda v_x.$$

Corresponding to transverse perturbations of form

$$v(x, y, t) = e^{\lambda t + iky} v(x), \quad v(\cdot) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, T]).$$

- Spectral instability if $\exists T$ -periodic *eigenvalue* λ with $\Re(\lambda) > 0$.
How do we locate these eigenvalues?

Write spec problem as

$$Y' = A(x; \lambda, k)Y$$

$\Psi(x; \lambda, k)$ = Solution matrix. Floquet Theory \Rightarrow λ is in T-periodic spec. of gen. e.val. problem iff

$$D(\lambda; k) = \det(\Psi(T; \lambda, k)\Psi(0; \lambda, k)^{-1} - Id) = 0$$

Why? $\Psi(T)\Psi(0)^{-1}$ = Period Map...

Spectral instability if $\exists \Re(\lambda) > 0$ such that $D(\lambda; k) = 0$.

Write spec problem as

$$Y' = A(x; \lambda, k)Y$$

$\Psi(x; \lambda, k)$ = Solution matrix. Floquet Theory \Rightarrow λ is in T-periodic spec. of gen. e.val. problem iff

$$D(\lambda; k) = \det (\Psi(T; \lambda, k)\Psi(0; \lambda, k)^{-1} - Id) = 0$$

Why? $\Psi(T)\Psi(0)^{-1}$ = Period Map...

Spectral instability if $\exists \Re(\lambda) > 0$ such that $D(\lambda; k) = 0$.

Write spec problem as

$$Y' = A(x; \lambda, k)Y$$

$\Psi(x; \lambda, k)$ = Solution matrix. Floquet Theory \Rightarrow λ is in T-periodic spec. of gen. e.val. problem iff

$$D(\lambda; k) = \det (\Psi(T; \lambda, k)\Psi(0; \lambda, k)^{-1} - Id) = 0$$

Why? $\Psi(T)\Psi(0)^{-1}$ = Period Map...

Spectral instability if $\exists \Re(\lambda) > 0$ such that $D(\lambda; k) = 0$.

Strategy: Search for real unstable e.v.'s by comparing $D(+\infty; k)$ with $D(0, k)$ when $0 < |k| \ll 1$.

$$D(+\infty; k)D(0, k) < 0 \Rightarrow \exists \text{ unstable } \lambda > 0.$$

Note: Generally, one compares $D(+\infty, k)$ with slope at $\mu = 0$.
BUT, $D(0, k) \neq 0$ for small k , so only need to compute $D(0, k)$.

Strategy: Search for real unstable e.v.'s by comparing $D(+\infty; k)$ with $D(0, k)$ when $0 < |k| \ll 1$.

$$D(+\infty; k)D(0, k) < 0 \Rightarrow \exists \text{ unstable } \lambda > 0.$$

Note: Generally, one compares $D(+\infty, k)$ with slope at $\mu = 0$. BUT, $D(0, k) \neq 0$ for small k , so only need to compute $D(0, k)$.

High Freq. Analysis

Fix k , and rescale $\tilde{x} = |\lambda|^{1/3}x$ to obtain

$$\left(-\partial_x^4 - |\lambda|^{-2/3}\partial_x^2(f'(u) + c) - \sigma k^2|\lambda|^{-4/3}\right)v = v_x$$

Write as 1st order system

$$Y' = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{H_0} Y + B(\lambda)Y$$

where $B(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2/3})$. Expect for $\lambda \gg 1$

$$D(\lambda; k) \approx \det\left(e^{H_0|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - Id\right) = 0.$$

Can we determine the limiting sign?

High Freq. Analysis

Fix k , and rescale $\tilde{x} = |\lambda|^{1/3}x$ to obtain

$$\left(-\partial_x^4 - |\lambda|^{-2/3}\partial_x^2(f'(u) + c) - \sigma k^2|\lambda|^{-4/3}\right)v = v_x$$

Write as 1st order system

$$Y' = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{H_0} Y + B(\lambda)Y$$

where $B(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2/3})$. Expect for $\lambda \gg 1$

$$D(\lambda; k) \approx \det\left(e^{H_0|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - Id\right) = 0.$$

Can we determine the limiting sign?

High Freq. Analysis

Fix k , and rescale $\tilde{x} = |\lambda|^{1/3}x$ to obtain

$$\left(-\partial_x^4 - |\lambda|^{-2/3}\partial_x^2(f'(u) + c) - \sigma k^2|\lambda|^{-4/3}\right)v = v_x$$

Write as 1st order system

$$Y' = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{H_0} Y + B(\lambda)Y$$

where $B(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2/3})$. Expect for $\lambda \gg 1$

$$D(\lambda; k) \approx \det \left(e^{H_0|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - Id \right) = 0.$$

Can we determine the limiting sign?

High Freq. Analysis

Fix k , and rescale $\tilde{x} = |\lambda|^{1/3}x$ to obtain

$$\left(-\partial_x^4 - |\lambda|^{-2/3}\partial_x^2(f'(u) + c) - \sigma k^2|\lambda|^{-4/3}\right)v = v_x$$

Write as 1st order system

$$Y' = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{H_0} Y + B(\lambda)Y$$

where $B(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2/3})$. Expect for $\lambda \gg 1$

$$D(\lambda; k) \approx \det \left(e^{H_0|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - Id \right) = 0.$$

Can we determine the limiting sign?

High Freq. Analysis

Fix k , and rescale $\tilde{x} = |\lambda|^{1/3}x$ to obtain

$$\left(-\partial_x^4 - |\lambda|^{-2/3}\partial_x^2(f'(u) + c) - \sigma k^2|\lambda|^{-4/3}\right)v = v_x$$

Write as 1st order system

$$Y' = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{H_0} Y + B(\lambda)Y$$

where $B(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2/3})$. Expect for $\lambda \gg 1$

$$D(\lambda; k) \approx \det\left(e^{H_0|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - Id\right) = 0.$$

Can we determine the limiting sign?

GOAL: determine effect of $B(\lambda)$ on neutral subspace of H_0 for $\lambda \gg 1$.

FACT: \exists (T -periodic) linear transformation $Q = Q_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$Q^{-1}H_0Q = \underbrace{\text{diag}(-1, \omega, \omega^*, 0)}_{Q_0^{-1}H_0Q_0} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\sqrt{3})$, and

$$Q^{-1}B(\lambda)Q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) & \frac{1}{2}A_x\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2\right)\varepsilon^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = T$ -periodic, $\varepsilon := |\lambda|^{-2/3}$.

\Rightarrow coefficient matrix

$$Q^{-1}(H_0 + B(\lambda))Q$$

is *approximately* block-triangular.... is this good enough?

GOAL: determine effect of $B(\lambda)$ on neutral subspace of H_0 for $\lambda \gg 1$.

FACT: \exists (T -periodic) linear transformation $Q = Q_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$Q^{-1}H_0Q = \underbrace{\text{diag}(-1, \omega, \omega^*, 0)}_{Q_0^{-1}H_0Q_0} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\sqrt{3})$, and

$$Q^{-1}B(\lambda)Q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) & \frac{1}{2}A_x\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2\right)\varepsilon^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = T$ -periodic, $\varepsilon := |\lambda|^{-2/3}$.

\Rightarrow coefficient matrix

$$Q^{-1}(H_0 + B(\lambda))Q$$

is approximately block-triangular.... is this good enough?

GOAL: determine effect of $B(\lambda)$ on neutral subspace of H_0 for $\lambda \gg 1$.

FACT: \exists (T -periodic) linear transformation $Q = Q_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$Q^{-1}H_0Q = \underbrace{\text{diag}(-1, \omega, \omega^*, 0)}_{Q_0^{-1}H_0Q_0} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\sqrt{3})$, and

$$Q^{-1}B(\lambda)Q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) & \frac{1}{2}A_x\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2\right)\varepsilon^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = T$ -periodic, $\varepsilon := |\lambda|^{-2/3}$.

\Rightarrow coefficient matrix

$$Q^{-1}(H_0 + B(\lambda))Q$$

is *approximately* block-triangular.... is this good enough?

Block-triangular tracking lemma: \exists a T -periodic change of coordinates $W = ZY$ of form

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & 0 \\ \Phi & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Phi = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2})$, taking system to an **exact** upper block triangular form with diagonal blocks

$$\begin{aligned} & -1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \quad \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ & \text{and } \frac{1}{2}A_x\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{5/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Block-triangular form *plus* periodicity of coordinate changes \Rightarrow

$$\text{Evans ftn.} = \prod \text{Evans ftn. for blocks.}$$

Stable block:

$$e^{-|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - 1 < 0.$$

Similarly, unstable block gives > 0 . Neutral block gives approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\int_0^{|\mu|^{1/3}T} \left(\frac{1}{2}A_x\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2 \right) \right) (s) ds \right) - 1 \\ = \exp(-\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}T) - 1 \\ \approx -\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \forall k \neq 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \text{sgn } D(\lambda; k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$.

Remark: Same proof works in Solitary wave case.... never been done this way(?).

Block-triangular form *plus* periodicity of coordinate changes \Rightarrow

$$\text{Evans ftn.} = \prod \text{Evans ftn. for blocks.}$$

Stable block:

$$e^{-|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - 1 < 0.$$

Similarly, unstable block gives > 0 . Neutral block gives approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\int_0^{|\mu|^{1/3}T} \left(\frac{1}{2}A_x\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2 \right) \right) (s) ds \right) - 1 \\ = \exp(-\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}T) - 1 \\ \approx -\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \forall k \neq 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \text{sgn } D(\lambda; k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$.

Remark: Same proof works in Solitary wave case.... never been done this way(?).

Block-triangular form *plus* periodicity of coordinate changes \Rightarrow

$$\text{Evans ftn.} = \prod \text{Evans ftn. for blocks.}$$

Stable block:

$$e^{-|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - 1 < 0.$$

Similarly, unstable block gives > 0 . Neutral block gives approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\int_0^{|\mu|^{1/3}T} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_x \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} A A_x - \sigma k^2 \right) \right) (s) ds \right) - 1 \\ = \exp \left(-\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1} T \right) - 1 \\ \approx -\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \forall k \neq 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \text{sgn } D(\lambda; k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$.

Remark: Same proof works in Solitary wave case.... never been done this way(?).

Block-triangular form *plus* periodicity of coordinate changes \Rightarrow

$$\text{Evans ftn.} = \prod \text{Evans ftn. for blocks.}$$

Stable block:

$$e^{-|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - 1 < 0.$$

Similarly, unstable block gives > 0 . Neutral block gives approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\int_0^{|\mu|^{1/3}T} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_x \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} A A_x - \sigma k^2 \right) \right) (s) ds \right) - 1 \\ = \exp \left(-\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1} T \right) - 1 \\ \approx -\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \forall k \neq 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \text{sgn } D(\lambda; k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$.

Remark: Same proof works in Solitary wave case.... never been done this way(?)

Block-triangular form *plus* periodicity of coordinate changes \Rightarrow

$$\text{Evans ftn.} = \prod \text{Evans ftn. for blocks.}$$

Stable block:

$$e^{-|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - 1 < 0.$$

Similarly, unstable block gives > 0 . Neutral block gives approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\int_0^{|\mu|^{1/3}T} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_x \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} A A_x - \sigma k^2 \right) \right) (s) ds \right) - 1 \\ = \exp \left(-\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1} T \right) - 1 \\ \approx -\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \forall k \neq 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \text{sgn } D(\lambda; k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$.

Remark: Same proof works in Solitary wave case.... never been done this way(?)

Block-triangular form *plus* periodicity of coordinate changes \Rightarrow

$$\text{Evans ftn.} = \prod \text{Evans ftn. for blocks.}$$

Stable block:

$$e^{-|\lambda|^{1/3}T} - 1 < 0.$$

Similarly, unstable block gives > 0 . Neutral block gives approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\int_0^{|\mu|^{1/3}T} \left(\frac{1}{2}A_x \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}AA_x - \sigma k^2 \right) \right) (s) ds \right) - 1 \\ = \exp(-\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1} T) - 1 \\ \approx -\sigma k^2 |\lambda|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \forall k \neq 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \text{sgn } D(\lambda; k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$.

Remark: Same proof works in Solitary wave case.... never been done this way(?).

Low-Freq. Analysis

Goal: Compare sign of σ to $\text{sgn } D(0, k)$ for $0 < |k| \ll 1$, where

$$D(0, k) = \det \left(\frac{\Psi(T; 0, k) - \Psi(0; 0, k)}{\Psi(T; 0, 0) - \Psi(0; 0, k) - \sigma k^2 \Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0) + \mathcal{O}(k^4)} \right) / \det(\Psi(0; 0, k))$$

$\Psi = \text{Soln. Matrix in arbitrary basis.}$

Choose useful basis at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$: recall periodic traveling waves parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Noether's thm $\Rightarrow \{u_x, u_a, u_E\}$ (formally) solve $\partial_x^2 \mathcal{L}[u]v = 0$.

Fourth soln. found by variation of parameters:

$$\phi(x) := \left(\int_0^x s u_E(s) ds \right) u_x(x) - \left(\int_0^x s u_s(s) ds \right) u_E(x).$$

Low-Freq. Analysis

Goal: Compare sign of σ to $\text{sgn } D(0, k)$ for $0 < |k| \ll 1$, where

$$D(0, k) = \det \left(\frac{\Psi(T; 0, k) - \Psi(0; 0, k)}{\Psi(T; 0, 0) - \Psi(0; 0, k) - \sigma k^2 \Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0) + \mathcal{O}(k^4)} \right) / \det(\Psi(0; 0, k))$$

$\Psi = \text{Soln. Matrix in arbitrary basis.}$

Choose useful basis at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$: recall periodic traveling waves parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Noether's thm $\Rightarrow \{u_x, u_a, u_E\}$ (formally) solve $\partial_x^2 \mathcal{L}[u]v = 0$.

Fourth soln. found by variation of parameters:

$$\phi(x) := \left(\int_0^x s u_E(s) ds \right) u_x(x) - \left(\int_0^x s u_s(s) ds \right) u_E(x).$$

Low-Freq. Analysis

Goal: Compare sign of σ to $\text{sgn } D(0, k)$ for $0 < |k| \ll 1$, where

$$D(0, k) = \det \left(\frac{\Psi(T; 0, k) - \Psi(0; 0, k)}{\Psi(T; 0, 0) - \Psi(0; 0, k) - \sigma k^2 \Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0) + \mathcal{O}(k^4)} \right) / \det(\Psi(0; 0, k))$$

$\Psi = \text{Soln. Matrix in } \textit{arbitrary} \text{ basis.}$

Choose useful basis at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$: recall periodic traveling waves parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Noether's thm $\Rightarrow \{u_x, u_a, u_E\}$ (formally) solve $\partial_x^2 \mathcal{L}[u]v = 0$.

Fourth soln. found by variation of parameters:

$$\phi(x) := \left(\int_0^x s u_E(s) ds \right) u_x(x) - \left(\int_0^x s u_s(s) ds \right) u_E(x).$$

Low-Freq. Analysis

Goal: Compare sign of σ to $\text{sgn } D(0, k)$ for $0 < |k| \ll 1$, where

$$D(0, k) = \det \left(\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\Psi(T; 0, k) - \Psi(0; 0, k)} \\ \Psi(T; 0, 0) - \Psi(0; 0, k) - \sigma k^2 \Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0) + \mathcal{O}(k^4) \end{array} \right) / \det(\Psi(0; 0, k))$$

$\Psi = \text{Soln. Matrix}$ in *arbitrary* basis.

Choose useful basis at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$: recall periodic traveling waves parameterized by (a, E, c) .

Noether's thm $\Rightarrow \{u_x, u_a, u_E\}$ (formally) solve $\partial_x^2 \mathcal{L}[u]v = 0$.

Fourth soln. found by variation of parameters:

$$\phi(x) := \left(\int_0^x s u_E(s) ds \right) u_x(x) - \left(\int_0^x s u_s(s) ds \right) u_E(x).$$

- Together $\{u_x, u_a, u_E, \phi\}$ form **basis** for (formal) null-space of linearization at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$.
- Q: How does this basis bifurcate from $k = 0$ state?
- Use Variation of parameters to compute $\Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0)$: If $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^4 = \text{Soln. Vecs for basis}$, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} = \Psi(T; 0, 0) \int_0^T W(x; 0, 0)^{-1} (Y_j(x) \cdot e_j) e_4 dx$$

$e_j = \text{standard basis vecs. in } \mathbb{R}^4$.

- Yields

$$\delta\Psi(0, k) = \delta\Psi(0, 0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_{k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} \otimes e_j \right) \sigma k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

where $\delta\Psi(0, \cdot) = \Psi(T; 0, \cdot) - \Psi(0; 0, k)$.

- Together $\{u_x, u_a, u_E, \phi\}$ form **basis** for (formal) null-space of linearization at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$.
- **Q:** How does this basis bifurcate from $k = 0$ state?
- Use Variation of parameters to compute $\Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0)$: If $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^4 = \text{Soln. Vecs for basis}$, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} = \Psi(T; 0, 0) \int_0^T W(x; 0, 0)^{-1} (Y_j(x) \cdot e_j) e_4 dx$$

$e_j = \text{standard basis vecs. in } \mathbb{R}^4$.

- Yields

$$\delta\Psi(0, k) = \delta\Psi(0, 0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_{k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} \otimes e_j \right) \sigma k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

where $\delta\Psi(0, \cdot) = \Psi(T; 0, \cdot) - \Psi(0; 0, k)$.

- Together $\{u_x, u_a, u_E, \phi\}$ form **basis** for (formal) null-space of linearization at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$.
- **Q:** How does this basis bifurcate from $k = 0$ state?
- Use Variation of parameters to compute $\Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0)$: If $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^4 = \text{Soln. Vecs for basis}$, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} = \Psi(T; 0, 0) \int_0^T W(x; 0, 0)^{-1} (Y_j(x) \cdot e_j) e_4 dx$$

$e_j = \text{standard basis vecs. in } \mathbb{R}^4$.

- Yields

$$\delta\Psi(0, k) = \delta\Psi(0, 0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_{k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} \otimes e_j \right) \sigma k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

where $\delta\Psi(0, \cdot) = \Psi(T; 0, \cdot) - \Psi(0; 0, k)$.

- Together $\{u_x, u_a, u_E, \phi\}$ form **basis** for (formal) null-space of linearization at $(\lambda, k) = (0, 0)$.
- **Q:** How does this basis bifurcate from $k = 0$ state?
- Use Variation of parameters to compute $\Psi_{k^2}(T; 0, 0)$: If $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^4 = \text{Soln. Vecs for basis}$, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} = \Psi(T; 0, 0) \int_0^T W(x; 0, 0)^{-1} (Y_j(x) \cdot e_j) e_4 dx$$

$e_j = \text{standard basis vecs. in } \mathbb{R}^4$.

- Yields

$$\delta\Psi(0, k) = \delta\Psi(0, 0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 \partial_{k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} \otimes e_j \right) \sigma k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

where $\delta\Psi(0, \cdot) = \Psi(T; 0, \cdot) - \Psi(0; 0, k)$.

Ugly Computation: for $0 < |k| \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(0, k) &= \det \left(\delta\Psi(0, 0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} \otimes e_j \right) \sigma k^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \mathcal{O}(k^6) \\
 &= - \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \underbrace{\left(M^2 - \|u\|_{L^2([0, T])}^2 T \right)}_{>0 \text{ by Cauchy-Schwarz}} (\sigma k^2)^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^6),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $T = \text{period}$, $M = \int_0^T u(s) ds = \text{Conserved Quantity (Mass)}$.

$$\therefore \text{sgn } D(0, k) = - \text{sgn} \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \quad \forall 0 < |k| \ll 1.$$

Ugly Computation: for $0 < |k| \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(0, k) &= \det \left(\delta\Psi(0, 0) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{k^2} Y_j(T; 0, k)|_{k=0} \otimes e_j \right) \sigma k^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \mathcal{O}(k^6) \\
 &= - \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \underbrace{\left(M^2 - \|u\|_{L^2([0, T])}^2 T \right)}_{>0 \text{ by Cauchy-Schwarz}} (\sigma k^2)^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^6),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $T = \text{period}$, $M = \int_0^T u(s) ds = \text{Conserved Quantity (Mass)}$.

$$\therefore \text{sgn } D(0, k) = - \text{sgn } \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \quad \forall 0 < |k| \ll 1.$$

Theorem (M.J. & K. Zumbrun–2009)

Periodic traveling wave soln. of gKdV is transversely (spectrally) unstable in gKP if

$$\sigma \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) > 0.$$

Remark: From numerics, above det. is generically non-zero....

Corollary

Periodic traveling wave of gKdV such that above det. is non-zero can never be spectrally stable to transverse perturbations in gKP for both $\sigma = \pm 1$.

Theorem (M.J. & K. Zumbrun–2009)

Periodic traveling wave soln. of gKdV is transversely (spectrally) unstable in gKP if

$$\sigma \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) > 0.$$

Remark: From numerics, above det. is generically non-zero....

Corollary

Periodic traveling wave of gKdV such that above det. is non-zero can never be spectrally stable to transverse perturbations in gKP for both $\sigma = \pm 1$.

Theorem (M.J. & K. Zumbrun–2009)

Periodic traveling wave soln. of gKdV is transversely (spectrally) unstable in gKP if

$$\sigma \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) > 0.$$

Remark: From numerics, above det. is generically non-zero....

Corollary

*Periodic traveling wave of gKdV such that above det. is non-zero can **never** be spectrally stable to transverse perturbations in gKP for **both** $\sigma = \pm 1$.*

- KdV:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \frac{-T^2 V'(M/T)}{12 \text{disc}(E - V(\cdot; a, c))} > 0$$

by Jensen's inequality and fact that $E - V = \text{cubic w/ 3 real roots}$ (required for \exists periodic orbits).

\therefore Cnoidal waves of KdV transversely unstable in KP-I ($\sigma > 0$).

Similar to solitary wave case, and agrees with results of Haragus–2010.

- Focusing mKdV ($f(u) = u^3$) w/ symmetric potential:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \begin{cases} > 0, \forall \text{Dnoidal waves} \\ < 0, \forall \text{Cnoidal waves} \end{cases}$$

- Other Cases: Use numerics....

- KdV:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \frac{-T^2 V'(M/T)}{12 \text{disc}(E - V(\cdot; a, c))} > 0$$

by Jensen's inequality and fact that $E - V = \text{cubic w/ 3 real roots}$ (required for \exists periodic orbits).

\therefore Cnoidal waves of KdV transversely unstable in KP-I ($\sigma > 0$).

Similar to solitary wave case, and agrees with results of Haragus–2010.

- Focusing mKdV ($f(u) = u^3$) w/ symmetric potential:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \begin{cases} > 0, \forall \text{Dnoidal waves} \\ < 0, \forall \text{Cnoidal waves} \end{cases}$$

- Other Cases: Use numerics....

- KdV:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \frac{-T^2 V'(M/T)}{12 \text{disc}(E - V(\cdot; a, c))} > 0$$

by Jensen's inequality and fact that $E - V = \text{cubic w/ 3 real roots}$ (required for \exists periodic orbits).

\therefore Cnoidal waves of KdV transversely unstable in KP-I ($\sigma > 0$).

Similar to solitary wave case, and agrees with results of Haragus–2010.

- Focusing mKdV ($f(u) = u^3$) w/ symmetric potential:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \begin{cases} > 0, \forall \text{Dnoidal waves} \\ < 0, \forall \text{Cnoidal waves} \end{cases}$$

- Other Cases: Use numerics....

- KdV:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \frac{-T^2 V'(M/T)}{12 \text{disc}(E - V(\cdot; a, c))} > 0$$

by Jensen's inequality and fact that $E - V = \text{cubic w/ 3 real roots}$ (required for \exists periodic orbits).

\therefore Cnoidal waves of KdV transversely unstable in KP-I ($\sigma > 0$).

Similar to solitary wave case, and agrees with results of Haragus–2010.

- Focusing mKdV ($f(u) = u^3$) w/ symmetric potential:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \begin{cases} > 0, \forall \text{Dnoidal waves} \\ < 0, \forall \text{Cnoidal waves} \end{cases}$$

- Other Cases: Use numerics....

- KdV:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \frac{-T^2 V'(M/T)}{12 \text{disc}(E - V(\cdot; a, c))} > 0$$

by Jensen's inequality and fact that $E - V = \text{cubic w/ 3 real roots}$ (required for \exists periodic orbits).

\therefore Cnoidal waves of KdV transversely unstable in KP-I ($\sigma > 0$).

Similar to solitary wave case, and agrees with results of Haragus–2010.

- Focusing mKdV ($f(u) = u^3$) w/ symmetric potential:

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) = \begin{cases} > 0, \forall \text{Dnoidal waves} \\ < 0, \forall \text{Cnoidal waves} \end{cases}$$

- Other Cases: Use numerics....

Final Remarks: Long-Wavelength Instabilities

(M.J.–2009) Similar techniques used to study transverse instability of periodic gKdV waves in gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x.$$

Result: for $|(\mu, k)| \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu, k) = & -\frac{\mu^3}{2} \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M, P)}{\partial(a, E, c)} \right) \\ & + \mu k^2 \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \int_0^T u_x^2 dx \\ & + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^4 + k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Yields spectral instability criterion for small k . Criterion is verified for cnoidal waves of KdV, dnoidal waves of mKdV, etc...

Q: Why didn't we do this for KP?

A: I'm not smart enough to compute $\partial_\mu^4 D(\mu, k)|_{(\mu, k)=(0,0)}$.

Final Remarks: Long-Wavelength Instabilities

(M.J.–2009) Similar techniques used to study transverse instability of periodic gKdV waves in gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x.$$

Result: for $|(\mu, k)| \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu, k) = & -\frac{\mu^3}{2} \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M, P)}{\partial(a, E, c)} \right) \\ & + \mu k^2 \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \int_0^T u_x^2 dx \\ & + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^4 + k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Yields spectral instability criterion for small k . Criterion is verified for cnoidal waves of KdV, dnoidal waves of mKdV, etc...

Q: Why didn't we do this for KP?

A: I'm not smart enough to compute $\partial_\mu^4 D(\mu, k)|_{(\mu, k)=(0,0)}$.

Final Remarks: Long-Wavelength Instabilities

(M.J.–2009) Similar techniques used to study transverse instability of periodic gKdV waves in gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x.$$

Result: for $|(\mu, k)| \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu, k) = & -\frac{\mu^3}{2} \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M, P)}{\partial(a, E, c)} \right) \\ & + \mu k^2 \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \int_0^T u_x^2 dx \\ & + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^4 + k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Yields spectral instability criterion for small k . Criterion is verified for cnoidal waves of KdV, dnoidal waves of mKdV, etc...

Q: Why didn't we do this for KP?

A: I'm not smart enough to compute $\partial_\mu^4 D(\mu, k)|_{(\mu, k)=(0,0)}$.

Final Remarks: Long-Wavelength Instabilities

(M.J.–2009) Similar techniques used to study transverse instability of periodic gKdV waves in gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x.$$

Result: for $|(\mu, k)| \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu, k) = & -\frac{\mu^3}{2} \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M, P)}{\partial(a, E, c)} \right) \\ & + \mu k^2 \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \int_0^T u_x^2 dx \\ & + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^4 + k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Yields spectral instability criterion for small k . Criterion is verified for cnoidal waves of KdV, dnoidal waves of mKdV, etc...

Q: Why didn't we do this for KP?

A: I'm not smart enough to compute $\partial_\mu^4 D(\mu, k)|_{(\mu, k)=(0,0)}$.

Final Remarks: Long-Wavelength Instabilities

(M.J.–2009) Similar techniques used to study transverse instability of periodic gKdV waves in gZK (Zakharov-Kuznetsov) eqns.

$$u_t = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})_x + f(u)_x.$$

Result: for $|(\mu, k)| \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu, k) = & -\frac{\mu^3}{2} \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M, P)}{\partial(a, E, c)} \right) \\ & + \mu k^2 \det \left(\frac{\partial(T, M)}{\partial(a, E)} \right) \int_0^T u_x^2 dx \\ & + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^4 + k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Yields spectral instability criterion for small k . Criterion is verified for cnoidal waves of KdV, dnoidal waves of mKdV, etc...

Q: Why didn't we do this for KP?

A: I'm not smart enough to compute $\partial_\mu^4 D(\mu, k)|_{(\mu, k)=(0,0)}$.

- Overall, techniques seem general, so long as basis for (formal) null-space of linearization (at $k=0$) can be found.
- Actually, don't even need to “find” one explicitly... but calculations are MUCH messier (conservation law people do this).
- “**Big**” Open Problem: Can anyone say anything about STABILITY???

Thank You!

- Overall, techniques seem general, so long as basis for (formal) null-space of linearization (at $k=0$) can be found.
- Actually, don't even need to "find" one explicitly.... but calculations are MUCH messier (conservation law people do this).
- "Big" Open Problem: Can anyone say anything about STABILITY???

Thank You!

- Overall, techniques seem general, so long as basis for (formal) null-space of linearization (at $k=0$) can be found.
- Actually, don't even need to "find" one explicitly.... but calculations are MUCH messier (conservation law people do this).
- **"Big" Open Problem:** Can anyone say anything about STABILITY???

Thank You!

- Overall, techniques seem general, so long as basis for (formal) null-space of linearization (at $k=0$) can be found.
- Actually, don't even need to "find" one explicitly.... but calculations are MUCH messier (conservation law people do this).
- **"Big" Open Problem:** Can anyone say anything about STABILITY???

Thank You!